WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

The Hon'ble Justice Ranjit Kumar Bag & The Hon'ble Subesh Kumar Das

Case No -OA 9 OF 2018

Gurusaday Dasgupta Vs The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Date of order	Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
For the Applicant : Mr. A. Samad, Learned Advocates. For the Respondents: Mr. A. K. Das Sinha, Learned Advocate. The applicant has prayed for direction upon the respondents for regularisation of service of the applicant in Group D post and for grant of remuneration @Rs.2000/- p.m. and other ancillary reliefs. Learned Counsel for the applicant has not pressed for prayer for regularisation of service of the applicant in Group D post. Accordingly, prayer (a) of the original application stands rejected. It appears from the materials on record that the applicant has been working as Contingent employee in the establishment of the respondent No. 4, Additional District Inspector of Schools (SE), Ranaghat Sub-Division and drawing remuneration of Rs.450/- only. The contention of the applicant is that he is entitled to get Rs.2000/- p.m. as remuneration, but the said amount has not been disbursed in favour of the applicant.		2	and dated signature of parties when necessary
Mr. A. Samad, Learned Advocates. For the Respondents: Mr. A. K. Das Sinha, Learned Advocate. The applicant has prayed for direction upon the respondents for regularisation of service of the applicant in Group D post and for grant of remuneration @Rs.2000/- p.m. and other ancillary reliefs. Learned Counsel for the applicant has not pressed for prayer for regularisation of service of the applicant in Group D post. Accordingly, prayer (a) of the original application stands rejected. It appears from the materials on record that the applicant has been working as Contingent employee in the establishment of the respondent No. 4, Additional District Inspector of Schools (SE), Ranaghat Sub-Division and drawing remuneration of Rs.450/- only. The contention of the applicant is that he is entitled to get Rs.2000/- p.m. as remuneration, but the said amount has not been disbursed in favour of the applicant.	1		
The applicant has prayed for direction upon the respondents for regularisation of service of the applicant in Group D post and for grant of remuneration @Rs.2000/- p.m. and other ancillary reliefs. Learned Counsel for the applicant has not pressed for prayer for regularisation of service of the applicant in Group D post. Accordingly, prayer (a) of the original application stands rejected. It appears from the materials on record that the applicant has been working as Contingent employee in the establishment of the respondent No. 4, Additional District Inspector of Schools (SE), Ranaghat Sub-Division and drawing remuneration of Rs.450/- only. The contention of the applicant is that he is entitled to get Rs.2000/- p.m. as remuneration, but the said amount has not been disbursed in favour of the applicant.	2		
The applicant has prayed for direction upon the respondents for regularisation of service of the applicant in Group D post and for grant of remuneration @Rs.2000/- p.m. and other ancillary reliefs. Learned Counsel for the applicant has not pressed for prayer for regularisation of service of the applicant in Group D post. Accordingly, prayer (a) of the original application stands rejected. It appears from the materials on record that the applicant has been working as Contingent employee in the establishment of the respondent No. 4, Additional District Inspector of Schools (SE), Ranaghat Sub-Division and drawing remuneration of Rs.450/- only. The contention of the applicant is that he is entitled to get Rs.2000/- p.m. as remuneration, but the said amount has not been disbursed in favour of the applicant.	01.04.2019	· ·	
The applicant has prayed for direction upon the respondents for regularisation of service of the applicant in Group D post and for grant of remuneration @Rs.2000/- p.m. and other ancillary reliefs. Learned Counsel for the applicant has not pressed for prayer for regularisation of service of the applicant in Group D post. Accordingly, prayer (a) of the original application stands rejected. It appears from the materials on record that the applicant has been working as Contingent employee in the establishment of the respondent No. 4, Additional District Inspector of Schools (SE), Ranaghat Sub-Division and drawing remuneration of Rs.450/- only. The contention of the applicant is that he is entitled to get Rs.2000/- p.m. as remuneration, but the said amount has not been disbursed in favour of the applicant.			
The applicant has prayed for direction upon the respondents for regularisation of service of the applicant in Group D post and for grant of remuneration @Rs.2000/- p.m. and other ancillary reliefs. Learned Counsel for the applicant has not pressed for prayer for regularisation of service of the applicant in Group D post. Accordingly, prayer (a) of the original application stands rejected. It appears from the materials on record that the applicant has been working as Contingent employee in the establishment of the respondent No. 4, Additional District Inspector of Schools (SE), Ranaghat Sub-Division and drawing remuneration of Rs.450/- only. The contention of the applicant is that he is entitled to get Rs.2000/- p.m. as remuneration, but the said amount has not been disbursed in favour of the applicant.		For the Respondents: Mr. A. K. Das Sinha,	
regularisation of service of the applicant in Group D post and for grant of remuneration @Rs.2000/- p.m. and other ancillary reliefs. Learned Counsel for the applicant has not pressed for prayer for regularisation of service of the applicant in Group D post. Accordingly, prayer (a) of the original application stands rejected. It appears from the materials on record that the applicant has been working as Contingent employee in the establishment of the respondent No. 4, Additional District Inspector of Schools (SE), Ranaghat Sub-Division and drawing remuneration of Rs.450/- only. The contention of the applicant is that he is entitled to get Rs.2000/- p.m. as remuneration, but the said amount has not been disbursed in favour of the applicant.		Learned Advocate.	
regularisation of service of the applicant in Group D post and for grant of remuneration @Rs.2000/- p.m. and other ancillary reliefs. Learned Counsel for the applicant has not pressed for prayer for regularisation of service of the applicant in Group D post. Accordingly, prayer (a) of the original application stands rejected. It appears from the materials on record that the applicant has been working as Contingent employee in the establishment of the respondent No. 4, Additional District Inspector of Schools (SE), Ranaghat Sub-Division and drawing remuneration of Rs.450/- only. The contention of the applicant is that he is entitled to get Rs.2000/- p.m. as remuneration, but the said amount has not been disbursed in favour of the applicant.			
regularisation of service of the applicant in Group D post and for grant of remuneration @Rs.2000/- p.m. and other ancillary reliefs. Learned Counsel for the applicant has not pressed for prayer for regularisation of service of the applicant in Group D post. Accordingly, prayer (a) of the original application stands rejected. It appears from the materials on record that the applicant has been working as Contingent employee in the establishment of the respondent No. 4, Additional District Inspector of Schools (SE), Ranaghat Sub-Division and drawing remuneration of Rs.450/- only. The contention of the applicant is that he is entitled to get Rs.2000/- p.m. as remuneration, but the said amount has not been disbursed in favour of the applicant.		The applicant has prayed for direction upon the respondents for	
grant of remuneration @Rs.2000/- p.m. and other ancillary reliefs. Learned Counsel for the applicant has not pressed for prayer for regularisation of service of the applicant in Group D post. Accordingly, prayer (a) of the original application stands rejected. It appears from the materials on record that the applicant has been working as Contingent employee in the establishment of the respondent No. 4, Additional District Inspector of Schools (SE), Ranaghat Sub-Division and drawing remuneration of Rs.450/- only. The contention of the applicant is that he is entitled to get Rs.2000/- p.m. as remuneration, but the said amount has not been disbursed in favour of the applicant.			
Learned Counsel for the applicant has not pressed for prayer for regularisation of service of the applicant in Group D post. Accordingly, prayer (a) of the original application stands rejected. It appears from the materials on record that the applicant has been working as Contingent employee in the establishment of the respondent No. 4, Additional District Inspector of Schools (SE), Ranaghat Sub-Division and drawing remuneration of Rs.450/- only. The contention of the applicant is that he is entitled to get Rs.2000/- p.m. as remuneration, but the said amount has not been disbursed in favour of the applicant.			
regularisation of service of the applicant in Group D post. Accordingly, prayer (a) of the original application stands rejected. It appears from the materials on record that the applicant has been working as Contingent employee in the establishment of the respondent No. 4, Additional District Inspector of Schools (SE), Ranaghat Sub-Division and drawing remuneration of Rs.450/- only. The contention of the applicant is that he is entitled to get Rs.2000/- p.m. as remuneration, but the said amount has not been disbursed in favour of the applicant.		grant of remuneration @N3.2000/ - p.m. and other anchiary reners.	
Accordingly, prayer (a) of the original application stands rejected. It appears from the materials on record that the applicant has been working as Contingent employee in the establishment of the respondent No. 4, Additional District Inspector of Schools (SE), Ranaghat Sub-Division and drawing remuneration of Rs.450/- only. The contention of the applicant is that he is entitled to get Rs.2000/- p.m. as remuneration, but the said amount has not been disbursed in favour of the applicant.		Learned Counsel for the applicant has not pressed for prayer for	
It appears from the materials on record that the applicant has been working as Contingent employee in the establishment of the respondent No. 4, Additional District Inspector of Schools (SE), Ranaghat Sub-Division and drawing remuneration of Rs.450/- only. The contention of the applicant is that he is entitled to get Rs.2000/- p.m. as remuneration, but the said amount has not been disbursed in favour of the applicant.		regularisation of service of the applicant in Group D post.	
been working as Contingent employee in the establishment of the respondent No. 4, Additional District Inspector of Schools (SE), Ranaghat Sub-Division and drawing remuneration of Rs.450/- only. The contention of the applicant is that he is entitled to get Rs.2000/- p.m. as remuneration, but the said amount has not been disbursed in favour of the applicant.		Accordingly, prayer (a) of the original application stands rejected.	
been working as Contingent employee in the establishment of the respondent No. 4, Additional District Inspector of Schools (SE), Ranaghat Sub-Division and drawing remuneration of Rs.450/- only. The contention of the applicant is that he is entitled to get Rs.2000/- p.m. as remuneration, but the said amount has not been disbursed in favour of the applicant.			
respondent No. 4, Additional District Inspector of Schools (SE), Ranaghat Sub-Division and drawing remuneration of Rs.450/- only. The contention of the applicant is that he is entitled to get Rs.2000/- p.m. as remuneration, but the said amount has not been disbursed in favour of the applicant.		It appears from the materials on record that the applicant has	
Ranaghat Sub-Division and drawing remuneration of Rs.450/- only. The contention of the applicant is that he is entitled to get Rs.2000/- p.m. as remuneration, but the said amount has not been disbursed in favour of the applicant.		been working as Contingent employee in the establishment of the	
The contention of the applicant is that he is entitled to get Rs.2000/- p.m. as remuneration, but the said amount has not been disbursed in favour of the applicant.		respondent No. 4, Additional District Inspector of Schools (SE),	
Rs.2000/- p.m. as remuneration, but the said amount has not been disbursed in favour of the applicant.		Ranaghat Sub-Division and drawing remuneration of Rs.450/- only.	
disbursed in favour of the applicant.		The contention of the applicant is that he is entitled to get	
		Rs.2000/- p.m. as remuneration, but the said amount has not been	
Having heard Learned Counsel representing both the parties and		disbursed in favour of the applicant.	
Having heard Learned Counsel representing both the parties and			
		Having heard Learned Counsel representing both the parties and	
on consideration of the nature of engagement of the applicant, we		on consideration of the nature of engagement of the applicant, we	
are of the view that the applicant is entitled to get Rs.2000/- p.m.		are of the view that the applicant is entitled to get Rs.2000/- p.m.	

GURUSADAY DASGUPTA -V- STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Form No.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	in terms of Memorandum No. 3727-F dated May 20, 2009	9 and
	remuneration @3000/- p.m. w.e.f. January 1, 2018 and in ter	ms of
	Memorandum No. 7284-F(P2)/FA/0/2A-1 dated Novembe	r 27,
	2017, issued by the Finance Department, Audit Br	anch,
	Government of West Bengal. However, the grievance o	f the
	applicant is that the applicant has not been paid even Rs.2	000/-
	p.m. as remuneration in spite of discharge of duty.	
	Accordingly, respondent No.3, District Inspector of Schools	s (SE),
	Nadia is directed to grant remuneration to the app	licant
	@Rs.2000/- p.m. in terms of Memorandum No. 3727-F dated	l May
	20, 2009 and remuneration @3000/- p.m. w.e.f. January 1, 20	018 in
	terms of Memorandum No. 7284-F(P2)/FA/0/2A-1	dated
	November 27, 2017, issued by the Finance Department,	Audit
	Branch, Government of West Bengal within a period of to	welve
	weeks from the date of communication of the order.	
	With the above direction, the original application is dispose	d of.
	Let a plain copy of the order be supplied to both the parties	5.
	S. K. DAS R. K. BAG	
	MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J)	
	, ,	
Rajib		

GURUSADAY DASGUPTA -V- STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Form No.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3

GURUSADAY DASGUPTA -V- STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Form No.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3

GURUSADAY DASGUPTA -V- STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Form No.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3

GURUSADAY DASGUPTA -V- STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Form No.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3

GURUSADAY DASGUPTA -V- STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Form No.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3

GURUSADAY DASGUPTA -V- STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Form No.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3

GURUSADAY DASGUPTA -V- STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Form No.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
Rajib		

GURUSADAY DASGUPTA -V- STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Form No.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3

GURUSADAY DASGUPTA -V- STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Form No.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3

GURUSADAY DASGUPTA -V- STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Form No.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3

GURUSADAY DASGUPTA -V- STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Form No.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3

GURUSADAY DASGUPTA -V- STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Form No.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3

GURUSADAY DASGUPTA -V- STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Form No.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3

GURUSADAY DASGUPTA -V- STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Form No.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3

GURUSADAY DASGUPTA -V- STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Form No.

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3